arXiv:0911.3374v1 [math.CA] 17 Nov 2009

Nabla Discrete fractional Calculus and Nabla Inequalities

George A. Anastassiou
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Memphis
Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.
ganastss@memphis.edu

Abstract

Here we define a Caputo like discrete nabla fractional difference and we produce discrete nabla fractional Taylor formulae for the first time. We estimate their remaiders. Then we derive related discrete nabla fractional Opial, Ostrowski, Poincaré and Sobolev type inequalities.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary: 39A12, 34A25, 26A33, Secondary: 26D15, 26D20.
Keywords and phrases: Nabla discrete fractional calculus, Nabla discrete inequalities.

1 Introduction

Here we follow [4].

We define the rising factorial

$$t^{\overline{n}} = t\,(t+1)\ldots(t+n-1)\,, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $t^{\overline{0}} = 1$. In general, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then define $t^{\overline{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(t+\alpha)}{\Gamma(t)}$, $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{..., -2, -1, 0\}$, and $0^{\overline{\alpha}} = 0$. Note that $\nabla\left(t^{\overline{\alpha}}\right) = \alpha t^{\overline{\alpha-1}}$, where $\nabla y(t) = y(t) - y(t-1)$.

For $k = 2, 3, ...,$ define ∇^k inductively by $\nabla^k = \nabla\nabla^{k-1}$. Thus $\nabla^k f(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} (-1)^m \begin{pmatrix} k \\ m \end{pmatrix} f(t-m)$.

Set $\rho(s) = s - 1$, we define the n-th order sum of $f(t)$ by

$$\nabla_a^{-n} f(t) = \sum_{s=a}^{t} \frac{(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{n-1}}}{(n-1)!} f(s), \tag{1}$$

where $t \geq a$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In general we define the ν-th order fractional sum of f by

$$\nabla_a^{-\nu} f(t) = \sum_{s=a}^{t} \frac{(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\nu-1}}}{\Gamma(\nu)} f(s), \tag{2}$$

where $\nu > 0$ non-integer, $t \geq a$.

We define the fractional Caputo like nabla difference for $\mu > 0$, $m - 1 < \mu < m$, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$, $\lceil . \rceil$ the ceiling of number, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu = m - \mu$, as follows

$$\nabla_{a*}^{\mu} f(t) = \nabla_a^{-\nu}\left(\nabla^m f(t)\right), \quad t \geq a. \tag{3}$$

We mention

Definition 1 *([4]) Here Δ^m is the m-th order forward difference operator, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\left(\Delta^m f\right)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ k \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{m-k} f(t+k), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define $t^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\alpha)}$, $t \in \mathbb{R} - \{..., -2, -1\}$, $\alpha > 0$, so that
$t^{(n)} = t(t-1)...(t-n+1)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that $t^{\overline{\alpha}} = (t+\alpha-1)^{(\alpha)}$.

Define for $\nu > 0$ the operator

$$\Delta_a^{-\nu} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-\nu} (t-s-1)^{(\nu-1)} f(s). \tag{4}$$

We also observe that

$$\Delta^m f(t-m) = \nabla^m f(t), \qquad \forall\ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We need the law of exponents.

Theorem 2 *([4]) Let f be a real valued function, and let $\mu, \nu > 0$. Then*

$$\nabla_a^{-\nu}\left(\nabla_a^{-\mu} f(t)\right) = \nabla_a^{-(\mu+\nu)} f(t) = \nabla_a^{-\mu}\left(\nabla_a^{-\nu} f(t)\right), \tag{5}$$

for all $t \geq a$.

We also mention the discrete Taylor formula

Theorem 3 *([2]) Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $t \geq a+m$, the representation holds,*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!} \nabla^k f(a) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t-\tau+1)^{\overline{m-1}} \nabla^m f(\tau). \tag{6}$$

2 Main Results

We present the following discrete backward fractional Taylor formula

Theorem 4 *Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $m-1 < \mu < m$, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$, $\mu > 0$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $t \geq a+m$, the representation holds,*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!} \nabla^k f(a) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-1}} \nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu} f(\tau). \tag{7}$$

Proof. We notice that

$$\nabla_{a+1}^{-\mu} \nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu} f(t) = \nabla_{a+1}^{-\mu} \nabla_{a+1}^{-(m-\mu)} \nabla^m f(t)$$

$$\overset{(\text{by } (5))}{=} \nabla_{a+1}^{-(\mu+m-\mu)} \nabla^m f(t) = \nabla_{a+1}^{-m} \nabla^m f(t), \tag{8}$$

true for $t \geq a+1$.

But

$$\nabla_{a+1}^{-m}\nabla^{m}f\left(t\right)=\frac{1}{\left(m-1\right)!}\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t}\left(t-\tau+1\right)^{\overline{m-1}}\nabla^{m}f\left(\tau\right), \tag{9}$$

and

$$\nabla_{a+1}^{-\mu}\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f\left(t\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\mu\right)}\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t}\left(t-\tau+1\right)^{\overline{\mu-1}}\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f\left(\tau\right), \tag{10}$$

where $t\geq a+1$.

Then we apply Theorem 3.

The claim is proved. ■

Corollary 5 *(to Theorem 4). Additionally assume that* $\nabla^{k}f\left(a\right)=0$, *for* $k=0,1,...,m-1$. *Then*

$$f\left(t\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\mu\right)}\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t}\left(t-\tau+1\right)^{\overline{\mu-1}}\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f\left(\tau\right),\quad\forall\ t\geq a+m. \tag{11}$$

We need

Lemma 6 *([4]) Let* $0\leq m-1<\nu\leq m$, $m=\lceil\nu\rceil$, $a\in\mathbb{N}$, *f defined on* $\mathbb{N}_{a}=\{a,a+1,...\}$. *Then*

$$\Delta_{a}^{-\nu}f\left(t+\nu\right)=\nabla_{a}^{-\nu}f\left(t\right),\quad\forall\ t\in\mathbb{N}_{a}. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 7 *([3]) Let* $p\in\mathbb{N}:\nu>p$. *Then*

$$\Delta^{p}\left(\Delta_{a}^{-\nu}f\left(t\right)\right)=\Delta_{a}^{-(\nu-p)}f\left(t\right). \tag{13}$$

We give

Theorem 8 *Let* $p\in\mathbb{N}:\nu>p$, $a\in\mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$\nabla^{p}\left(\nabla_{\alpha}^{-\nu}f\left(t\right)\right)=\nabla_{a}^{-(\nu-p)}f\left(t\right), \tag{14}$$

for $t\in\mathbb{N}_{a}$.

Proof. We notice that

$$\nabla^p \left(\nabla_a^{-\nu} f(t)\right) = \Delta^p \left(\nabla_a^{-\nu} f\right)(t-p)$$

$$\overset{(\text{by } (12))}{=} \Delta^p \left(\Delta_a^{-\nu} f(t-p+\nu)\right) = \left(\Delta^p \Delta_a^{-\nu} f\right)(t-p+\nu) =: A.$$

Also we see that

$$\nabla_a^{-(\nu-p)} f(t) \overset{(\text{by } (12))}{=} \Delta_a^{-(\nu-p)} f(t+\nu-p) =: B.$$

But $A = B$ by (13), proving the claim. ■

We make

Remark 9 *We have*

$$\nabla^p \left(\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\right) = \nabla^p \left(\frac{(t+k-1-a)^{(k)}}{k!}\right) =$$

$$\Delta^p \left(\frac{(t+k-1-a-p)^{(k)}}{k!}\right) = \frac{(t+k-1-a-p)^{(k-p)}}{(k-p)!} = \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k-p}}}{(k-p)!}$$

for $k \geq p$.

That is

$$\nabla^p \left(\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\right) = \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k-p}}}{(k-p)!}, \quad \text{for } k \geq p. \tag{15}$$

We have established the following discrete backward fractional extended Taylor's formula.

Theorem 10 *Let* $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function, and let* $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. *Here* $m-1 < \mu < m$, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$, $\mu > 0$. *Consider* $p \in \mathbb{N} : \mu > p$. *Then, for all* $t \geq a+m$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, *the representation holds,*

$$\nabla^p f(t) = \sum_{k=p}^{m-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k-p}}}{(k-p)!} \nabla^k f(a) +$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu} f(\tau). \tag{16}$$

Proof. By Theorem 8 and (15). ■

Note. When $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and for $p = 0$ put on (16) we get (7).

Corollary 11 *(to Theorem 10). Additionally assume that* $\nabla^k f(a) = 0$, *for* $k = p, ..., m-1$. *Then*

$$\nabla^p f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu - p - 1}} \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau), \quad \forall\ t \geq a+m,\ t \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{17}$$

Remark 12 *(to Theorems 4, 10). Let f be defined on $[a - m + 1, a - m + 2, ..., b]$, a discrete closed interval, where b is an integer. Then (7) and (16) are valid only for $t \in [a + m, b]$. Here we must assume that $a + m < b$.*

Remark 13 *We would like to find*

$$\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu-1}} = \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t-1} (t - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu-1}} + (1)^{\overline{\mu-1}} =$$

$$\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t-1} (t - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu-1}} + \Gamma(\mu) = \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t-1} \frac{\Gamma(t - \tau + \mu)}{\Gamma(t - \tau + 1)} + \Gamma(\mu). \tag{18}$$

So still to find

$$A := \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t-1} \frac{\Gamma(t - \tau + \mu)}{\Gamma(t - \tau + 1)}. \tag{19}$$

We will use the following formula

$$\frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x-k+1)} = \frac{1}{(k+1)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(x+2)}{\Gamma(x-k+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x-k)} \right), \tag{20}$$

where $x > k$, $x, k \in \mathbb{R} : k > -1$, $x > -1$.

So for calculating A we set $x := t - \tau + \mu - 1$, $k := \mu - 1$. We observe here that $x > -1$, $k > -1$ and $x > k$. Also we see that $x + 1 = t - \tau + \mu$ and $x - k + 1 = t - \tau + 1$. So we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(t - \tau + \mu)}{\Gamma(t - \tau + 1)} = \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x-k+1)} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\Gamma(t - \tau + \mu + 1)}{\Gamma(t - \tau + 1)} - \frac{\Gamma(t - \tau + \mu)}{\Gamma(t - \tau)} \right), \tag{21}$$

for all $\tau \in \{a+1, ..., t-1\}$.

Consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned} A = \frac{1}{\mu} \Bigg\{ & \left(\frac{\Gamma(t-a+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a)} - \frac{\Gamma(t-a-1+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a-1)} \right) + \\ & \left(\frac{\Gamma(t-a-1+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a-1)} - \frac{\Gamma(t-a-2+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a-2)} \right) + \\ & \left(\frac{\Gamma(t-a-2+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a-2)} - \frac{\Gamma(t-a-3+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a-3)} \right) + \\ & \dots \\ & + \left(\frac{\Gamma(\mu+2)}{\Gamma(2)} - \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(1)} \right) \Bigg\} \end{aligned}$$

(telescoping sum)

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(t-a+\mu)}{\Gamma(t-a)} - \Gamma(\mu+1) \right\} = \frac{\Gamma(t-a+\mu)}{\mu\Gamma(t-a)} - \Gamma(\mu). \qquad (22)$$

That is

$$A = \frac{\Gamma(t-a+\mu)}{\mu\Gamma(t-a)} - \Gamma(\mu). \qquad (23)$$

Hence we have found that

$$\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-1}} = \frac{\Gamma(t-a+\mu)}{\mu\Gamma(t-a)} = \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\mu}}}{\mu}. \qquad (24)$$

We give

Corollary 14 *(to Theorem 4). We get*

$$\left| f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!} \nabla^k f(a) \right| \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\mu}}}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} \cdot \max_{\tau \in \{a+1,...,t\}} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|. \qquad (25)$$

Proof. Use of (7) and (24). ■

Corollary 15 *(to Theorem 10). It holds*

$$\left| \nabla^p f(t) - \sum_{k=p}^{m-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k-p}}}{(k-p)!} \nabla^k f(a) \right| \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\mu-p}}}{\Gamma(\mu-p+1)} \cdot \max_{\tau \in \{a+1,...,t\}} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|. \qquad (26)$$

Proof. Use of (16) and (24). ■

We present a discrete fractional Opial inequality

Theorem 16 *Let $\mu > 2$, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil \geq 3$; $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mu > p$; $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Here f is a real valued function defined on $\{a-m+1, a-m+2, ...\}$. Here $t \geq a+m$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\nabla^k f(a) = 0$, for $k = p, ..., m-1$.*

Let $\gamma, \delta > 1 : \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\delta} = 1$; $C(\tau) > 0$ for $\tau = a+1, ..., t$; and $D(t') \geq 0$ for $t' = a+m, ..., t$. Put

$$\theta(t, a, \mu, p, C, \gamma) := \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} \left[(t-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} (C(\tau))^{-1} \right]^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad t \geq a+m, \tag{27}$$

$$g(t) := \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (C(\tau))^{\delta} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta}, \quad t \geq a+1; \tag{28}$$

$$G(t, a, m, g) := 2\left(g^2(t) - g^2(a+m-1)\right) + \frac{\left(g^2(t-1) - g^2(a+m-2)\right)}{2} + 2\left[g(t)g(t-1) - g(a+m-1)g(a+m-2)\right], \quad t \geq a+m. \tag{29}$$

Call also

$$K(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)} \left(\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} \left[D(t') (C(t'))^{-1} \theta(t', a, \mu, p, C, \gamma) \right]^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \ t \geq a+m. \tag{30}$$

Then

$$\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} D(t') \left| \nabla^p f(t') \right| \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(t') \right| \leq K(t) \left(G(t, a, m, g) \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}, \tag{31}$$

for $t \geq a+m$.

Proof. By (17) we have

$$\left| \nabla^p f(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (t - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} (C(\tau))^{-1} C(\tau) \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|$$

(by discrete Hölder's inequality)

$$\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} \left[(t - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} (C(\tau))^{-1} \right]^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (C(\tau))^{\delta} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$$

$$= \frac{\theta(t, a, \mu, p, C, \gamma)}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (C(\tau))^{\delta} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}, \quad \forall\, t \geq a + m. \tag{32}$$

We have put

$$g(t) = \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{t} (C(\tau))^{\delta} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta}, \tag{33}$$

which is nondecreasing in $t \geq a + 1 > a - m + 1$.

It holds

$$\nabla g(t) = (C(t))^{\delta} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(t) \right|^{\delta}, \quad t \in \{a + 1, \ldots\}. \tag{34}$$

Hence

$$\left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(t) \right| = (\nabla g(t))^{\frac{1}{\delta}} (C(t))^{-1}. \tag{35}$$

We observe for $a + m \leq t' \leq t$ that

$$\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} D(t') \left| \nabla^{p} f(t') \right| \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(t') \right| \leq$$

$$\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} D(t') \frac{\theta(t', a, \mu, p, C, \gamma)}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} (g(t'))^{\frac{1}{\delta}} (\nabla g(t'))^{\frac{1}{\delta}} (C(t'))^{-1} \leq$$

(by discrete Hölder's inequality)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} \left(\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} \left[D(t') (C(t'))^{-1} \theta(t', a, \mu, p, C, \gamma) \right]^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

$$\cdot\left(\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} g(t')\cdot\nabla g(t')\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}. \tag{36}$$

By $m \geq 3$ notice that $a+m-2 \geq a+1$.

We define the discontinuous function

$$\psi(x) = g(t') + \nabla g(t')(x - t' + 1), \quad \text{for } x \in [t'-1, t']$$

a closed interval of $\mathbb{R}$, and for $t' = a+m-1, a+m, \ldots$.

So $\psi(x) = g(t'+1) + \nabla g(t'+1)(x - t')$, for $x \in [t', t'+1]$, and notice that $\psi(t'-) = 2g(t') - g(t'-1)$, while $\psi(t'+) = g(t'+1)$; thus ψ in general is discontinuous. Also see that $\psi'(x) = \nabla g(t')$, for $x \in [t'-1, t']$, for $t' = a+m-1, \ldots$.

Here $g(t), \nabla g(t) \geq 0$.

We further observe that

$$g(t') \leq \frac{g(t') + (2g(t') - g(t'-1))}{2} = \frac{3g(t') - g(t'-1)}{2}, \tag{37}$$

for $t' = a+m-1, \ldots$.

The last means that

$$g(t') \leq \int_{t'-1}^{t'} \psi(x)\,dx, \quad \text{for } t' = a+m-1, \ldots . \tag{38}$$

Consequently, we get

$$g(t')\nabla g(t') \leq \int_{t'-1}^{t'} \psi(x)\psi'(x)\,dx = \int_{t'-1}^{t'} \psi(x)\,d\psi(x) =$$

$$\left.\frac{(\psi(x))^2}{2}\right|_{t'-1}^{t'} = \frac{1}{2}\left[(\psi(t'))^2 - (\psi(t'-1))^2\right]. \tag{39}$$

That is

$$g(t')\nabla g(t') \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[(\psi(t'))^2 - (\psi(t'-1))^2\right], \quad \text{for } t' = a+m-1, \ldots . \tag{40}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} g(t')\nabla g(t') \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t}\left[(\psi(t'))^2 - (\psi(t'-1))^2\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left((\psi(a+m))^2 - (\psi(a+m-1))^2\right) + \left((\psi(a+m+1))^2 - (\psi(a+m))^2\right)\right.\\
&\quad \left. + \left((\psi(a+m+2))^2 - (\psi(a+m+1))^2\right) + \ldots + \left((\psi(t))^2 - (\psi(t-1))^2\right)\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[(\psi(t))^2 - (\psi(a+m-1))^2\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[(2g(t) - g(t-1))^2 - (2g(a+m-1) - g(a+m-2))^2\right]\\
&= 2\left(g^2(t) - g^2(a+m-1)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(g^2(t-1) - g^2(a+m-2)\right)\\
&\quad - 2\left[g(t)\,g(t-1) - g(a+m-1)\,g(a+m-2)\right]. \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t'=a+m}^{t} g(t')\,\nabla g(t') &\leq 2\left(g^2(t) - g^2(a+m-1)\right)\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\left(g^2(t-1) - g^2(a+m-2)\right) -\\
&2\left[g(t)\,g(t-1) - g(a+m-1)\,g(a+m-2)\right], \quad \forall\, t \geq a+m. \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last proves the claim. ■

We give

Corollary 17 *(to Theorem 16). Here* f *is a real valued function defined on* $\{-2,-1,0,\ldots\}$, $t \geq 3$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. *Assume* $f(0) = f(-1) = f(-2) = 0$. *Put*

$$
\overline{\theta}(t,2.5) := \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\left[(t-\tau+1)^{\overline{1.5}}\right]^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \geq 3, \qquad (43)
$$

$$
\overline{g}(t) := \sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\left(\nabla_{1*}^{2.5} f(\tau)\right)^2, \quad t \geq 1; \qquad (44)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{G}(t,3,\overline{g}) &:= 2\left(\overline{g}^2(t) - \overline{g}^2(2)\right) + \frac{\left(\overline{g}^2(t-1) - \overline{g}^2(1)\right)}{2}\\
&+ 2\left[\overline{g}(t)\,\overline{g}(t-1) - \overline{g}(2)\,\overline{g}(1)\right], \quad t \geq 3. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Call also

$$\overline{K}\left(t\right)=\frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\sum_{t'=3}^{t}\left(\overline{\theta}\left(t',2.5\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\quad t\geq 3. \tag{46}$$

Then

$$\sum_{t'=3}^{t}\left|f\left(t'\right)\right|\left|\nabla_{1*}^{2.5}f\left(t'\right)\right|\leq\overline{K}\left(t\right)\left(\overline{G}\left(t,3,\overline{g}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\quad \text{for } t\geq 3. \tag{47}$$

Note. Above in (45) we have $\overline{g}\left(1\right)=\pi\left(f\left(1\right)\right)^{2}$.

Next we present a discrete fractional nabla Ostrowski type inequality.

Theorem 18 *Let $m-1<\mu<m$, $m=\lceil\mu\rceil$, non integerer $\mu>0$; $p,a\in\mathbb{Z}_{+}$ with $\mu>p$. Consider $b\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $a+m<b$. Let f be a real valued function defined on $[a-m+1,a-m+2,...,b]$. Here $j\in[a+m,...,b]$. Assume that $\nabla^{k}f\left(a\right)=0$, for $k=p+1,...,m-1$.*

Then

$$\left|\frac{1}{\left(b-a-m\right)}\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\nabla^{p}f\left(j\right)-\nabla^{p}f\left(a\right)\right|\leq$$

$$\frac{\left(\left(b-a\right)^{\overline{\mu-p+1}}-m^{\overline{\mu-p+1}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu-p+2\right)\left(b-a-m\right)}\cdot\left(\max_{\tau\in\{a+1,...,b\}}\left|\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f\left(\tau\right)\right|\right). \tag{48}$$

Proof. By (16) we have

$$\nabla^{p}f\left(j\right)-\nabla^{p}f\left(a\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\mu-p\right)}\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j}\left(j-\tau+1\right)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}}\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f\left(\tau\right), \tag{49}$$

for all $j\in[a+m+1,a+m+2,...,b]$.

We get that

$$\frac{1}{b-\left(a+m\right)}\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\nabla^{p}f\left(j\right)-\nabla^{p}f\left(a\right)=$$

$$\frac{1}{\left(b-a-m\right)}\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\left(\nabla^{p}f\left(j\right)-\nabla^{p}f\left(a\right)\right)=$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)(b-a-m)}\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j}(j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}}\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f(\tau)\right). \quad (50)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left|\frac{1}{(b-a-m)}\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\nabla^{p}f(j)-\nabla^{p}f(a)\right|\leq$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)(b-a-m)}\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j}(j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}}\left|\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f(\tau)\right|\right)\leq$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)(b-a-m)}\left(\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j}(j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}}\right)\right)\cdot$$

$$\left(\max_{\tau\in\{a+1,\dots,b\}}\left|\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f(\tau)\right|\right)$$

$$\overset{(\text{by }(24))}{=}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p+1)(b-a-m)}\left(\sum_{j=a+m+1}^{b}(j-a)^{\overline{\mu-p}}\right)\cdot$$

$$\left(\max_{\tau\in\{a+1,\dots,b\}}\left|\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f(\tau)\right|\right)$$

(by Lemma 19 of [1])

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p+2)(b-a-m)}\left((b-a)^{\overline{\mu-p+1}}-m^{\overline{\mu-p+1}}\right)\cdot$$

$$\left(\max_{\tau\in\{a+1,\dots,b\}}\left|\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu}f(\tau)\right|\right), \quad (51)$$

proving the claim. ■

Next we give a discrete nabla fractional Poincaré inequality.

Theorem 19 *Let* $\mu>p$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}_{+}$, μ *non-integer,* $m=\lceil\mu\rceil$; $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{+}$. *Here* $f:[a-m+1,a-m+2,...,b]\to\mathbb{R}$; $a+m<b$, $b\in\mathbb{N}$, *and* $\nabla^{k}f(a)=0$, $k=p,...,m-1$.

Let $\gamma,\ \delta > 1 : \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\delta} = 1$. *Then*

$$\sum_{j=a+m}^{b} \left|\nabla^{p} f(j)\right|^{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\Gamma(\mu-p)\right)^{\delta}} \left\{ \sum_{j=a+m}^{b} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}} \right\} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right). \tag{52}$$

Proof. We have by (17) that

$$\nabla^{p} f(j) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} (j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau), \tag{53}$$

$\forall\ j \in [a+m, a+m+1, ..., b]$.

Let $\gamma,\ \delta > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\delta} = 1$.

We observe that

$$\left|\nabla^{p} f(j)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)} \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} (j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|$$

(by discrete Hölder's inequality)

$$\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu-p)} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}. \tag{54}$$

I.e. it holds

$$\left|\nabla^{p} f(j)\right|^{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\Gamma(\mu-p)\right)^{\delta}} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\left(\Gamma(\mu-p)\right)^{\delta}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}} \cdot \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} \left| \nabla^{\mu}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right), \tag{55}$$

$\forall\ j \in [a+m, b]$, a discrete interval.

Applying $\sum_{j=a+m}^{b}$ on both ends of (55) we establish (52). ■

It follows a discrete nabla Sobolev type fractional inequality.

Theorem 20 *Let $\mu > p$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, μ non-integer, $m = \lceil \mu \rceil$; $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Here $f : [a-m+1, ..., b] \to \mathbb{R}$; $a+m < b$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\nabla^k f(a) = 0$, $k = p, ..., m-1$. Let γ, $\delta > 1 : \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\delta} = 1$, and $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\left(\sum_{j=a+m}^{b} \left| \nabla^p f(j) \right|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu - p)} \left[\sum_{j=a+m}^{b} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{r}{\gamma}} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} \left| \nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}. \tag{56}$$

Proof. By (54) and $r \geq 1$ we have

$$\left| \nabla^p f(j) \right|^r \leq \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\mu - p))^r} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{r}{\gamma}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} \left| \nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right)^{\frac{r}{\delta}}, \ \ \forall\ j \in [a+m, ..., b]. \tag{57}$$

Consequently we get

$$\sum_{j=a+m}^{b} \left| \nabla^p f(j) \right|^r \leq \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\mu - p))^r} \left[\sum_{j=a+m}^{b} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j-\tau+1)^{\overline{\mu-p-1}} \right)^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{r}{\gamma}} \right] \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} \left| \nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu} f(\tau) \right|^{\delta} \right)^{\frac{r}{\delta}}, \tag{58}$$

proving the claim. ■

We finish with the following discrete nabla fractional average Sobolev type inequality.

Theorem 21 *Let* $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < ... < \mu_k$ *non-integers;* $m_l = \lceil \mu_l \rceil$, $l = 1, ..., k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Assume* $\nabla^{\tau} f(a) = 0$, *for* $\tau = 0, 1, ..., m_k - 1$, *where* $f : [a - m_k + 1, ..., b] \to \mathbb{R}$; $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. *Let* $r \geq 1$; $C_l(s) > 0$ *defined on* $[a+1, ..., b]$, $l = 1, ..., k$; $a + m_k < b$.

Call

$$B_l := \sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} C_l(\tau) \left(\nabla^{\mu_l}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right)^2,$$

$$\delta^* := \max_{1 \leq l \leq k} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\mu_l))^2} \left[\sum_{j=a+m_l}^{b} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu_l - 1}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{r}} \right\},$$

and

$$\rho^* := \max_{1 \leq l \leq k} \left\| \frac{1}{C_l(\tau)} \right\|_{\infty, [a+1, b]}.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_{r, [a+m_k, b]} \leq \sqrt{\delta^* \rho^*} \left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k} B_l}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{59}$$

Proof. We see that also $\nabla^{\tau} f(a) = 0$, $\tau = 0, 1, ..., m_l - 1$, $l = 1, ..., k - 1$. So the assumptions of Theorem 20 are fulfilled for f and fractional orders μ_l, $l = 1, ..., k$. Thus by choosing $p = 0$ and $\gamma = \delta = 2$ we apply (56), for $l = 1, ..., k$, to obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=a+m_l}^{b} |f(j)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu_l)} \left[\sum_{j=a+m_l}^{b} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu_l - 1}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b} \left(\nabla^{\mu_l}_{(a+1)*} f(\tau) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{60}$$

Hence it holds

$$\left(\sum_{j=a+m_l}^{b} |f(j)|^r \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} \leq \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\mu_l))^2} \left[\sum_{j=a+m_l}^{b} \left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{j} \left((j - \tau + 1)^{\overline{\mu_l - 1}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{r}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b}\left(\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu_l}f\left(\tau\right)\right)^{2}\right)\leq\delta^{*}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b}\left(\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu_l}f\left(\tau\right)\right)^{2}\right)=$$

$$\delta^{*}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b}\left(C_l\left(\tau\right)\right)^{-1}\left(C_l\left(\tau\right)\right)\left(\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu_l}f\left(\tau\right)\right)^{2}\right)\leq$$

$$\delta^{*}\rho^{*}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b}C_l\left(\tau\right)\left(\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu_l}f\left(\tau\right)\right)^{2}\right).$$

That is

$$\left(\sum_{j=a+m_k}^{b}\left|f\left(j\right)\right|^{r}\right)^{\frac{2}{r}}\leq\left(\sum_{j=a+m_l}^{b}\left|f\left(j\right)\right|^{r}\right)^{\frac{2}{r}}\leq$$

$$\delta^{*}\rho^{*}\left(\sum_{\tau=a+1}^{b}C_l\left(\tau\right)\left(\nabla_{(a+1)*}^{\mu_l}f\left(\tau\right)\right)^{2}\right)=\delta^{*}\rho^{*}B_l,\ \text{ for } l=1,...,k. \tag{61}$$

Hence

$$\left\|f\right\|_{r,[a+m_k,b]}^{2}\leq\delta^{*}\rho^{*}\left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{k}B_l}{k}\right), \tag{62}$$

proving the claim. ■

References

[1] G. Anastassiou, *Discrete fractional Calculus and inequalities*, submitted, 2009.

[2] D.R. Anderson, *Taylor Polynomials for nabla Dynamic equations on time scales*, Panamer. Math. J., 12(4):17-27, 2002.

[3] F. Atici and P. Eloe, *Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus*, Proc. AMS, 137, no. 3 (2009), 981-989.

[4] F. Atici and P. Eloe, *Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator*, Electronic J. of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, Spec. Ed. I, 2009, No. 1, 1-99; http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/.